(I)

SINGLE BENCH

C.F. 1001

(3)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION No. 2259 / 2005

PETITIONER

Dr. G. S. Mahalwar,
S/o Shri R. S. Mahalwar,
aged about 54 years
Ayurved [Medical] Officer,
posted at Dantewada
District South Bastar [Dantewada]
Chhattisgarh
R/o Near Koteshwar Shiv Mandir,
Kota Ward, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary
Department of Health and Family
Welfare, Mantralaya,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur. C.G.

2. The Director,
Bhartiya Chiktsa Padhati Evam
Homeopathy,
Near D.K.S. Building, Raipur,C.G.

3. Dr. Satish Kumar Choubey,
S/o Shri B.L. Choubey, Ayurved
Medical Officer,{Ex- District Ayurved
[Medical] Officer}, District South
Bastar [Dantewada] posted at Bheji,
District South Bastar[Dantewada]
Chhattisgarth

4. Dr. Satish Kumat Tirpathi,
Incharge District Ayurved [Medical]
Officer, District South Bastar
[Dantewada], O/o District Ayurved
[Medical] Officer District Bastar,
Jagdalpur, C.G.

P.R. No. 2096/05
Presented by Shri Sathpadh Sahu
dated 09.05.05

RECEIVED COPY
CLERK GOVT. COLL BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUENCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBTION,

Mahalw

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(31)

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2259/2005 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

15.06.2005

Shri Satyendra Sahu, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastavata, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No. 1 and 2 on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the present, this petition may be disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation to the concerned authority and the concerned authority may be directed to disposed of his representation within stipulated period granted by this Court by reasoned order on each points raised by the petitioner.

This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by learned counsel for the State. The same is allowed.

Accordingly, it is directed that if so advised, the petitioner may file a representation along with a copy of this petition and the certified copy of this order before the competent authority raising his grievances within a period of

(32)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2259/2005 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	one month from today and if such representation is filed, the competent authority shall dispose of the same within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation in accordance with law on each points raised by the petitioner pertaining to his grievances. With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P No. 1818/2005 & I.A. No. 4407/2005 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	

Vatti

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000